(1975); (c) E. Koerner von Gustorf, M. C. Henry, and D. J. McAdoo, *Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem.*, 707, 190 (1967); (d) S. D. Ittel, *Inorg. Chem.*, 16, 2589 (1977), and references cited therein; (e) W. Partenheimer, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 98, 2779 (1976), and references cited therein.

- (19) H. D. Kaesz, R. Bau, and M. R. Churchill, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 89, 2775 (1967)
- (20) J. R. Norton, private communication; cf. (a) J. Okrasinkski and J. R. Norton, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 99, 295 (1977); (b) J. Evans and J. R. Norton, ibid., 96, 7577 (1974).
- (21) S. W. Kirtley, M. A. Andrews, R. Bau, G. W. Grynkewich, T. J. Marks, D. L. Tipton, and B. R. Whittlesey, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **99**, 7155 (1977), and references cited therein.
- (22) See, for example, J. P. Collman, Acc. Chem. Res., 8, 342 (1975)
- (23) G. N. Schrauzer and E. Deutsch, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 91, 3341 (1969).
 (24) (a) H. G. Kuivila, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 1, 299 (1968). (b) C. Walling, J. H. Cooley, A. A. Ponaras, and E. J. Racah, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 88, 5361 (1966). (c) D. J. Carlsson and K. U. Ingold, *ibid.*, 90, 7047 (1968). (d) The rate constant for transfer of hydrogen from NaBH4 to a number of organic radicals has been found to be several orders of magnitude slower than transfer from (n-Bu)₃SnH; cf. J. T. Groves and S. Kittisopikul, Tetrahedron Lett., 4291 1977)
- See, for example, (a) D. M. Singleton and J. K. Kochi, J. Am. Chem. Soc (25)89, 6547 (1967); (b) J. Halpern and J. P. Maher, *ibid.*, 86, 2311 (1964); (c)
 P. B. Chock and J. Halpern, *ibid.*, 91, 582 (1969).
 (26) (a) A. Streitwieser, J. R. Wolfe, and W. D. Schaeffer, *Tetrahedron Lett.*,
- 338 (1959); (b) H. J. Dauben and L. L. McKoy, J. Arn. Chem. Soc., 81, 5404
- (1959).
 (27) (a) J. F. Garst, R. D. Roberts, and J. A. Pacifici, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **99**, 3528 (1977), and references cited therein; (b) P. J. Krusic, P. J. Fagan, and J. San Filippo, Jr., *ibid.*, **99**, 252 (1977); (c) B. W. Bangerter, R. P. Beatty, J. K. Kouba, and S. S. Wreford, *J. Org. Chem.*, **42**, 3247 (1977).
 (28) (a) C. Walling and A. Cioffari, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **94**, 6059 (1972); (b) M. Iulia. *Pure Appl. Chem.*, **15**, 167 (1987); (c) M. Julia. *Acc. Chem.*, **8es.**, **4**.
- Julia, Pure Appl. Chem., 15, 167 (1967); (c) M. Julia, Acc. Chem. Res., 4, 386 (1971); (d) D. Lai, D. Griller, S. Husband, and K. U. Ingold, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 96, 6355 (1974).
- See, for example, (a) A. L. J. Beckwith, I. Blair, and G. Phillipou, J. Am. (29)Chem. Soc., 96, 1613 (1974); (b) A. L. J. Beckwith and W. B. Gara, J. Chem.

- (30) For similar complexes, see R. Tsumura and N. Hagihara, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 38, 1901 (1965). (31) For a discussion of the use of 29 in trapping radicals formed during reduction
- of alkylmercuric halides by sodium borohydride, see C. L. Hill and G. M. Whitesides, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 96, 870 (1974).
- (32) B. Maillard, D. Forrest, and K. U. Ingold, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 98, 7024 (1976).
- (3) (a) H. Schuh, E. J. Hamilton, Jr., H. Paul, and H. Fischer, *Helv. Chim. Acta*, 57, 2011 (1974); (b) M. J. Perkins and B. P. Roberts, *J. Chem. Soc.*, *Perkin* Trans. 2, 297 (1974).
- (34) G. Brunton, H. C. McBay, and K. U. Ingold, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 99, 4447 (1977). (35) (a) H. G. Kuivila and E. J. Walsh, Jr., *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **88**, 571 (1966);
- (b) E. J. Walsh, Jr., and H. G. Kuvila, *ibid.*, **88**, 576 (1966).
 (36) P. Beak and S. W. Moje, *J. Org. Chem.*, **39**, 1320 (1974).
- (37) J. E. Ellis, S. G. Hentges, D. G. Kalina, and G. P. Hagen, J. Organomet. Chem., 97, 79 (1975).
- S. H. Babcock, *Inorg. Synth.*, 1, 10 (1939).
 H. H. Babcock, *Inorg. Synth.*, 1, 10 (1939).
 H. H. Horhold, G. Drefall, and P. Hinderson, *Z. Chem.*, 7, 459 (1967)
- (40) W. von E. Doering and W. A. Henderson, Jr., J. Am. Chem. Soc., 80, 5274 (1958)
- (41) W. E. Truce and J. J. Breiter, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 84, 1623 (1962).
- (42) J. S. Meek and J. W. Rowe, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 77, 6675 (1955).
- (43) G. G. Bergstrom and S. Siegel, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 74, 145 (1952).
 (44) H. E. Carter, R. L. Frank, and H. W. Johnston, "Organic Syntheses", Collect. Vol. III, Wiley, New York, N.Y., 1955, p 167.
- (45) M. Fieser and L. F. Fieser, "Reagents for Organic Synthesis", Wiley, New York, N.Y., 1967.
- (46) C. D. Beard, K. Baum, and V. Grakaukas, J. Org. Chem., 38, 3675 (1975)
- (47) J.K. Ruff, Inorg. Synth., 15, 84 (1974).
 (48) J. Colonge, G. Descotes, and G. Poilane, Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr., 408 (1959).
- (49) A. L. J. Beckwith, I. A. Blair, and G. Phillipou, Tetrahedron Lett., 2251 (1974).

Structures of Butyl Ions Formed by Electron Impact Ionization of Isomeric Butyl Halides and Alkanes

D. M. Shold and P. Ausloos*

Contribution from the National Bureau of Standards, Washington, D.C. 20234. Received March 14, 1978

Abstract: Using a pulsed ion cyclotron resonance (ICR) spectrometer, it is demonstrated that at pressures of about 10⁻⁶ Torr and at observation times ranging from 10^{-3} to 0.5 s. isobutane, neopentane, 2.2-dimethylbutane, isobutyl halides, and *tert*butyl halides form $C_4H_9^+$ ions having the tertiary structure. In *n*-alkanes, 2-methylbutane, 3-methylpentane, *n*-butyl halides, and sec-butyl halides, both sec-C₄H₉⁺ and t-C₄H₉⁺ ions are observed, the sec-C₄H₉⁺ ions surviving without rearrangement for at least 0.1 s. However, in the case of the halides, a collision-induced isomerization of the sec- $C_4H_9^+$ to the t- $C_4H_9^+$ ions occurs. The efficiency of this process increases with the basicity of the alkyl halide. Radiolysis experiments carried out at atmospheric pressures indicate, in agreement with ICR and solution experiments, that at times as short as 10⁻¹⁰ s the majority of the *i*-C₄H₉⁺ ions from isobutyl bromide rearrange to the *t*-C₄H₉⁺ structure. On the other hand, in the radiolysis of both *n*-hexane and 3-methylpentane, the abundance of $t-C_4H_9^+$ relative to sec-C_4H_9^+ is substantially smaller than that observed in the ICR experiments, and decreases with decreasing collision interval. It is suggested that about 90% of the $i-C_4H_9^+$ can rearrange to t-C₄H₉+ by simple 1,2-hydride shift without involving secondary or protonated methylcyclopropane structures as intermediates.

Introduction

More than a decade ago, Munson^{1,2} demonstrated that sec-C₄H₉⁺ and t-C₄H₉⁺ ions can retain their structure in the ion source of a mass spectrometer for up to 10^{-6} s. In a more recent collisional activation study by Dymerski and McLafferty,³ a similar conclusion was reached. In addition, the suggestion was made that $i-C_4H_9^+$ ions originating from the electron impact induced fragmentation of *i*-C₄H₉Br isomerize mainly to the sec-C₄H₉⁺ structure within 10^{-6} s. As pointed out by these authors, solution isomerization studies⁴ as well as the interpretation of isomerization mechanisms occurring in the radiolysis of alkanes⁵ lead to a different conclusion, namely, that isobutyl ions rearrange mainly to the tertiary

structure. A new attempt to identify the structures of butyl ions was made using a pulsed ion cyclotron resonance spectrometer (ICR) which permits the observation of ions at times as long as seconds after their formation. The approach was the same as that employed in a recent ICR examination of $C_7H_7^+$ structures.⁶ A previous examination of the $C_4H_9^+$ structures⁷ using an ICR operating in the continuous mode was thought to give inconclusive results because no distinction could be made between structurally different ions and structurally similar ions containing different amounts of internal energy. In order to circumvent internal energy effects, the present study mainly examines $C_4H_9^+$ ions which have undergone several unreactive collisions prior to reaction. In addition we report



Figure 1. Abundance of $C_4H_9^+$ ions as a function of time: (a) ions from 2.5×10^{-6} Torr isobutane and 0.17×10^{-6} Torr CH_3CO_2H ; (b) ions from 2.5×10^{-6} Torr *n*-butane and 0.25×10^{-6} Torr CH_3CO_2H .

experiments which elucidate the structures of butyl ions in the radiolysis of butyl halides and hexanes. These experiments were carried out at approximately atmospheric pressures, corresponding to collision intervals of 10^{-10} – 10^{-9} s.

Experimental Section

The experimental procedure for the ICR experiments was identical with that described in a previous study from this laboratory.⁶ The temperature in the analyzer cell was kept at 320 ± 5 K, and the electron energy was varied between 10 ± 1 and 25 ± 2 eV. Two- or three-component mixtures were prepared on a separate vacuum line. The premixed gases were stored in 550-mL spherical bulbs which could be attached to the manifold of the ICR.

The 300 K radiolysis experiments were also conducted according to procedures described in an earlier paper,⁵ using the NBS ⁶⁰Co γ source. The intensity was 2.5×10^{-7} eV s⁻¹ electron⁻¹. Irradiation times varied between 3 and 15 h. Gas chromatographic analysis of products on a 12-m squalane column provided sufficient resolution to distinguish between deuterated and nondeuterated butanes produced in C₄H₉Br-C₆D₁₄ or C₆H₁₄-C₆D₁₄ mixtures. After quantitative analysis the butane products were analyzed by analytical mass spectrometry.

Results and Discussion

1. Hydride Ion Transfer from Butanes. In *n*-butane and isobutane, the vast majority of the butyl ions are formed by well-known fast hydride transfer reactions:⁸

$$R^+ + C_4 H_{10} \rightarrow RH + C_4 H_9^+$$
 (1)

where R^+ stands for the reactive hydrocarbon fragment ions such as $C_2H_3^+$, $C_2H_5^+$, $C_3H_5^+$, $C_3H_7^+$, etc.

Tentatively accepting^{1-3,5,7} that in a low-pressure gas-phase system the only $C_4H_9^+$ ions which have to be considered are those with secondary or tertiary structures, the observation of differences in reactivity with selected molecules can be used to obtain quantitative information about the abundances of the two structures. This is illustrated in Figure 1, which shows an experimental tracing of the abundances of $C_4H_9^+$ ions in butane and in isobutane, to which small quantities of CH_3COOH (proton affinity 193.4 ± 1 kcal/mol)^{9,10} have been added. The unreactive ions can be assigned the $t-C_4H_9^+$ structure, since for ions of this structure, the proton transfer reaction

$$C_4H_9^+ + CH_3COOH \rightarrow C_4H_8 + CH_3COOH_2^+ \quad (2)$$

is endothermic by about $4 \pm 1 \text{ kcal/mol.}^{9,10}$ On the other hand, accepting a value for ΔH_f of sec-C₄H₉⁺ of 175 kcal/mol.¹⁰ reaction 2 would be $8 \pm 2 \text{ kcal/mol exothermic, and therefore}$ the probable structure of the reactive C₄H₉⁺ is sec-C₄H₉⁺. The value of k(2) for the reactive C₄H₉⁺ component formed in *n*-butane is 10.5 ± 1 × 10⁻¹⁰ cm³/molecules. Similar results are obtained when (CH₃)₂O (proton affinity 194 ± 1 kcal/mol)^{9,10} is used as a proton acceptor.

A different set of experiments was carried out with 3methylpentane- d_{14} , rather than acetic acid, as the reactant. As expected, the hydride ion transfer reaction

$$C_4H_9^+ + C_6D_{14} \rightarrow C_4H_9D + C_6D_{13}^+$$
 (3)

was observed. For $C_4H_9^+$ ions originating from isobutane, which as indicated above have the tertiary structure, k(3) is small (0.15 × 10⁻¹⁰ cm³/molecule·s). The $C_4H_9^+$ ions generated in *n*-butane consist of a fast reacting ($k(3) = 4.3 \pm 0.5$ × 10⁻¹⁰ cm³/molecule·s) and a slow reacting ($k(3) = 0.2 \pm$ 0.1 × 10⁻¹⁰ cm³/molecule·s) component, which can be ascribed to ions of the secondary and tertiary structures, respectively. Because in these experiments the additives are present in relatively small concentrations, the butyl ions should have no excess internal energy at the time of reaction. This is verified by the observation that the abundance (75 ± 5%) and the rate constants measured for the reactive C₄H₉⁺ ions in *n*-butane are independent of the concentration of acetic acid (5-50%) or 3-methylpentane (10-50%).

The results presented here confirm the fact that $sec-C_4H_9^+$ ions can retain their structure for long periods of time in the absence of vibrational excitation. The activation energy for isomerization to the thermodynamically more stable $t-C_4H_9^+$ ion has been determined in the liquid phase to be ~17-18 kcal mol^{-1,11} and a similar energy barrier in the gas phase may be responsible for the observed stability of $sec-C_4H_9^+$.

Experiments carried out using $CD_3CH_2CH_2CD_3$ show that 80–90% of the C₄D₆H₃⁺ ions formed in the reaction

$$R^{+} + CD_3CH_2CH_2CD_3 \rightarrow RH + C_4D_6H_3^{+} \qquad (4)$$

undergo a fast reaction with 3-methylpentane- d_{14} ($k = 4 \pm 1 \times 10^{-10}$ cm³/molecule·s). On the other hand, about 85% of the C₄D₅H₄⁺ ions, which are mainly formed by transfer of D⁻ from a primary position to the R⁺ species, were found to react very slowly with 3-methylpentane- d_{14} ($k \sim 0.2 \times 10^{-10}$ cm³/molecule·s). It follows that the majority of the *sec*-butyl ions formed in reaction 4 retain their structure, while the C₄D₅H₄⁺ ions, which initially possess the primary structure, would have sufficient energy to isomerize to the observed tertiary structure.

2. Fragmentation of Alkane Ions. In the case of the pentanes and hexanes, the butyl ions are exclusively formed by fragmentation of the parent ion. As expected, butyl ions formed in the fragmentation of neopentane, 2-methylpentane, and 2,2-dimethylbutane parent ions are unreactive toward the parent molecule as well as toward CH₃COOH, and can therefore be assigned the tertiary structure. In pure *n*-pentane and *n*-hexane, a fraction of the butyl ions undergo a rather fast hydride transfer reaction with the *n*-alkane ($k = 2 \pm 1 \times 10^{-10}$ cm³/molecules), while the remaining butyl ions are entirely unreactive. Because of the endothermicity of the reaction of $t-C_4H_9^+$ with *n*-pentane and *n*-hexane, the unreactive $C_4H_9^+$ component can be assigned the tertiary structure. The reactive sec-C₄H₉⁺ ion constitutes 25 ± 2 and $34 \pm 2\%$ in *n*-pentane and *n*-hexane, respectively. Analogous experiments with 3methylpentane- h_{14} and $-d_{14}$ show a similar abundance (25 \pm 3%) of sec-C₄H₉⁺. No discernible change in the relative abundance was noted over the electron energy range 12-25 eV.

In view of the fact that Semeluk and Lossing¹⁰ have shown that the appearance potential of the $C_4H_9^+$ originating from $n-C_5H_{12}$ corresponds to that which would be expected for ions of the secondary structure rather than the thermodynamically more stable $t-C_4H_9^+$ structure, it would seem that the rather high abundance of the $t-C_4H_9^+$ ions found in this study may

 Table I.
 Butyl Ion Structures in sec-Butyl Bromide. Effect of Additive^a

% CH ₃ COOH	$t-C_4H_9^+/sec-C_4H_9^+$		
40	1.18		
14	3.57		
4	8.33		
% 3-methylpentane	t-C4H9 ⁺ /sec-C4H9 ⁺		
50	1.28		
9	3.57		

^{*a*} Pressure of sec-C₄H₉Br, 10^{-6} Torr. Electron energy, 22 eV.

have to be accounted for by the isomerization of primary $C_4H_9^+$ ions formed in the initial cleavage of the C-C bond in the *n*-alkane, or by isomerization of internally excited sec- $C_4H_9^+$ ions.

In the case of isopentane, sec-C₄H₉⁺ ions account for 37 ± 7% of the C₄H₉⁺ population at energies ranging from 13 to 28 eV. These ions react with isopentane with a rate constant of $3.2 \pm 0.5 \times 10^{-10}$ cm³/molecules. The remaining C₄H₉⁺ ions exhibit a rate constant of $0.1 \pm 0.05 \times 10^{-10}$ cm³/molecules, in good agreement with the value of 0.16×10^{-10} cm³/molecules cules reported by Meot-Ner and Field¹³ for the reaction

$$t - C_4 H_9^+ + i - C_5 H_{12} \rightarrow i - C_4 H_{10} + t - C_5 H_{11}^+$$
 (5)

A better insight into the origin of the sec-butyl ions is obtained by examining the time dependence of the abundances of the $C_4H_9^+$ and $C_4H_6D_3^+$ ions formed in the fragmentation of $(CH_3)_2CHCH_2CD_3$. At a nominal electron energy of 20 eV, the $C_4H_6D_3^+$ ions, which correspond to approximately 85% of the butyl ions, show, within experimental error, the same fraction (~40%) of sec-butyl ions as observed for nonlabeled isopentane. Because of their low abundance, the composition of the $C_4H_9^+$ ions could not be established. Additional energy was imparted to (CH₃)₂CHCH₂CD₃ by use of xenon as a sensitizer. The lowest energy state of the Xe⁺ ion is 12.12 eV.¹⁰ Ionization of isopentane requires 10.32 eV,¹⁰ so electron transfer will form the isopentane ion with internal energy of 1.8 eV. The succeeding decomposition of the isopentane ion to CH₃ and sec-C₄H₆D₃⁺ requires 0.1 eV,¹⁰ so 1.7 eV remains in the fragments. If one assumes an equipartition of this energy, the sec-C₄H₆D₃⁺ ion will be formed with internal energy of approximately 1.3 eV, or 30 kcal mol⁻¹, an amount far in excess of that required for isomerization.¹ As expected, all of the $C_4H_6D_3^+$ ions formed in this experiment show the low reactivity towards isopentane characteristic of the tert-butyl ion.

Experiments were also performed in which excess CD_4 was added to $(CH_3)_2CHCH_2CD_3$. In these experiments, the two dissociative proton transfer reactions

$$CD_5^+ + (CH_3)_2 CHCH_2 CD_3 \rightarrow C_4 H_6 D_3^+ + CD_4 + CH_3 D \quad (6)$$

$$\rightarrow C_4 H_9^+ + 2CD_4 \tag{7}$$

occur roughly in the ratio 2:1. Interestingly, while about 70% of the $C_4H_6D_3^+$ ions exhibit the *sec*-butyl reactivity, within experimental error, all $C_4H_9^+$ ions were found to show the same reactivity toward isopentane as the *t*- $C_4H_9^+$ ions. Apparently, the isobutyl ions formed in reaction 7 rearrange to the *t*- $C_4H_9^+$ structure.

3. Fragmentation of the Butyl Halides. When CH₃COOH, (CH₃)₂O, or 3-methylpentane- d_{14} is added to *i*-C₄H₉Br, *t*-C₄H₉Br, *sec*-C₄H₉Br, *n*-C₄H₉Br, and *sec*-C₄H₉Cl, the following observations are made: (1) The C₄H₉+ ions from *i*-C₄H₉Br and *t*-C₄H₉Br are within experimental error entirely unreactive toward CH₃COOH or (CH₃)₂O and react with



Figure 2. Abundance of $C_4H_9^+$ ions as a function of time: (a) ions from 6.9×10^{-7} Torr isobutyl bromide and 7.0×10^{-7} Torr (CH₃)₂O; (b) ions from 6.2×10^{-7} Torr *sec*-butyl bromide and 6.5×10^{-7} Torr (CH₃)₂O.

 C_6D_{14} at the same rate as that observed for the $C_4H_9^+$ ions in *i*- C_4H_{10} - C_6D_{14} mixtures. (2) The $C_4H_9^+$ ions from *sec*- C_4H_9Br , *sec*- C_4H_9Cl , and *n*- C_4H_9Br , like those generated in *n*-butane, show a reactive and a less reactive or unreactive fraction. One of these reacts with CH₃COOH, (CH₃)₂O, and 3-methylpentane with the same rates as those measured for the reactive component in *n*-butane. The other isomeric $C_4H_9^+$ ion is unreactive toward CH₃COOH and (CH₃)₂O, and reacts slowly with 3-methylpentane ($k = 0.2 \pm 0.1 \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^3/$ molecule-s). Some of these results are shown in Figure 2.

It follows from these observations that $\geq 95\%$ of the C₄H₉⁺ ions from *i*-C₄H₉Br and *t*-C₄H₉Br have the *t*-C₄H₉⁺ structure, while those formed in the fragmentation of *sec*- and *n*-butyl halides consist of both *sec*-C₄H₉⁺ and *t*-C₄H₉⁺ ions.

The relative abundance of the $sec-C_4H_9^+$ ions formed in the fragmentation of the linear alkyl halide parent ions depends on the concentration of acetic acid or 3-methylpentane (see Table 1). Apparently, collisions with halide molecules can convert sec-C₄H₉⁺ ions into t-C₄H₉⁺ ions. This collisioninduced isomerization process was confirmed by a series of experiments involving the addition of various concentrations of propyl bromide to a $n-C_4H_{10}-CH_3COOH$ (10:1) mixture. where it was found that, while in the absence of propyl bromide the sec- $C_4H_9^+$ ion was the most abundant isomeric species (80%), addition of increasing concentrations of propyl bromide eventually reduces the abundance of the $sec-C_4H_9^+$ ion species below its detection limit (less than 5% of the $C_4H_9^+$ ion yield). The collision-induced isomerization does not take place to any appreciable extent when, instead of propyl bromide, other unreactive molecules such as formaldehyde or formic acid are added to the C₄H₁₀-CH₃COOH mixture. It seems plausible that the butyl ion isomerization occurs via a transient dialkylhalonium ion, e.g.

$$sec-C_4H_9^+ + C_3H_7Br \rightarrow [C_3H_7BrC_4H_9]^+$$

$$\rightarrow t-C_4H_9^+ + C_3H_7Br \quad (8)$$

Although the intermediate could not be detected in the ICR, its lifetime must be fairly long since dialkylhalonium ions have been observed in mass spectrometric investigations.¹⁴ The halide molecule apparently plays the role of a catalyst in overcoming the energy barrier associated with the isomerization. The efficiency of the halide-induced isomerization increases with increasing basicity of the halogen atom. For instance, while on the average one collision in five of the *sec*-C₄H₉⁺ ion with *sec*-butyl bromide leads to isomerization to the *t*-C₄H₉⁺ ion structure, approximately five times that many collisions with *sec*-butyl chloride are needed to achieve the



Figure 3. Percent t-C₄H₉+ ion as a function of electron energy, from i-C₄H₉Br, n-C₄H₉Br, and sec-C₄H₉Br.

same result. In the absence of collision-induced isomerization, the limiting percentage of butyl ion possessing the sec-C₄H₉+ structure is 57 ± 4, 56 ± 4, and 48 ± 4%, for *n*-C₄H₉Br, sec-C₄H₉Br, and sec-C₄H₉Cl, respectively, at electron energies ranging from 14 to 19 eV. As electron energy decreases further, to a nominal energy of 10 ± 1 eV, which approximates the appearance potential of the secondary ion,¹⁰ the amount of tertiary ion decreases (Figure 3) to approximate values of 17% t-C₄H₉+ for sec-C₄H₉Br and 30% t-C₄H₉+ for *n*-C₄H₉Br. At all energies the *i*-C₄H₉Br shows, within experimental error (±5%), exclusively tertiary ion formation.

4. Comparison with Collisional Activation. In a recent collisional activation study³ the conclusion was reached that isobutyl ions produced in the fragmentation of isobutyl bromide rearrange mainly to the *sec*-C₄H₉⁺ structure. This was based on a comparison of the fragmentation patterns of C₆H₁₁O⁺ ions formed in a reaction of butyl ions from isobutyl bromide and other precursor compounds, in the presence of added CH₃COCl. This reaction was suggested to be

$$C_4H_9^+ + CH_3COCl \rightarrow C_6H_{11}O^+ + HCl \qquad (9)$$

In an attempt to explain the differences in the conclusions of that study and those reported here, we examined the reactivities of the butyl ions in the various systems with CH₃COCl. The butyl ions generated in isobutane, t-C₄H₉Br, and i-C₄H₉Br react very slowly with CH₃COCl ($k < 10^{-11}$ cm³/molecules), while a fraction of the C₄H₉+ ions formed in secand n-C₄H₉Br undergo a fast reaction ($k = 9 \pm 1 \times 10^{-10}$ cm³/molecules) to form CH₃CO⁺ (Figure 4):

$$C_4H_9^+ + CH_3COCl \rightarrow CH_3CO^+ + C_4H_9Cl \quad (10)$$

Such a reaction is exothermic by $1.3 \pm 1 \text{ kcal/mol}$ when $C_4H_9^+$ has the secondary structure, and is endothermic¹⁵ for t- $C_4H_9^+$ ions. The possibility that the CH₃CO⁺ product ion is formed in a dissociation of a $C_6H_{11}O^+$ intermediate produced in reaction 9 can be ruled out; such a reaction sequence would be endothermic by more than 10 kcal/mol for both butyl ion structures.

The $C_6H_{11}O^+$ ion which could be formed in reaction 9 was indeed observed in small abundance (<5% of the butyl ion abundance) in the mixtures of butyl halides with CH_3COCl , and double resonance ejection experiments confirmed that this product indeed results from a reaction of $C_4H_9^+$ species. The kinetics of formation of $C_6H_{11}O^+$ offers some clues about the origin of this product. (1) The rate of formation of $C_6H_{11}O^+$ is essentially the same no matter which precursor of butyl ions is used. (2) The $C_6H_{11}O^+$ ion abundance increases slowly as a function of time, and continues to increase at the same rate even after all the *sec*- $C_4H_9^+$ ions have been removed by the



Figure 4. Abundance of ions in 2-C₄H₉Cl-CH₃COCl (10:1), total pressure 1.8×10^{-6} Torr.

occurrence of reaction 10 (see Figure 4). These two observations suggest that the major precursor of $C_6H_{11}O^+$ may be the *tert*-butyl ion.

More important, the actual abundance of $C_6H_{11}O^+$ observed in any particular mixture under given conditions is not reproducible, but depends directly on the length of time the CH₃COCl-containing mixture has been flowing through the lCR instrument. Apparently a surface reaction involving CH₃COCl results in the buildup of some compound which reacts with t-C₄H₉⁺ to give $C_6H_{11}O^+$. Acetic acid and/or acetic anhydride are possible identities of the unknown reactant compound, since (1) small abundances of ions of mass corresponding to protonated acetic acid and acetic anhydride were observed, and (2) the $C_6H_{11}O^+$ ion is formed as a product in mixtures of isobutane with these compounds also. However, the possibility that other impurities may also react with t-C₄H₉⁺ to form $C_6H_{11}O^+$ cannot be excluded.

In summary, under our experimental conditions $C_6H_{11}O^+$ is exclusively formed by reaction of $t-C_4H_9^+$ with an as yet unidentified neutral molecule.

5. Radiolysis

In order to examine butyl ions which have existed for a much shorter time before undergoing reaction, butyl bromides and other butyl ion precursors were irradiated with ⁶⁰Co- γ rays at pressures from 15 to 100 Torr, in the presence of 0.4-5% O₂ added as a free-radical scavenger. When nondeuterated butyl bromides are irradiated in the presence of 3-methylpentaned₁₄, t-C₄H₉⁺ ions will react to give (CH₃)₃CD⁵

 $(CH_3)_3C^+ + C_6D_{14} \rightarrow (CH_3)_3CD + C_6D_{13}^+$ (11)

while $sec-C_4H_9^+$ ions will react as follows:⁵

$$CH_3CH_2C^+HCH_3 + C_6D_{14}$$

$$\rightarrow CH_3CH_2CHDCH_3 + C_6D_{13}^+ \quad (12)$$

The relative yields of the neutral butane products, $(CH_3)_3CD$ and $CH_3CH_2CHDCH_3$, reflect the relative abundances of t-C₄H₉⁺ and sec-C₄H₉⁺, respectively.

When *sec*-butyl bromide was irradiated in the presence of a three- to fourfold excess of 3-methylpentane- d_{14} (97 Torr total pressure), *n*-butane and isobutane were formed in a 99:1 ratio. Similar results are found when 2,3-dimentylbutane is used as the reactive interceptor. Thus within 10^{-10} - 10^{-9} s there is no significant isomerization of *sec*-C₄H₉⁺ to *t*-C₄H₉⁺. The vibrational deactivation caused by the collisions at high pressures apparently produces stable secondary ions which do not rearrange on this time scale. This observation is consistent with the results found in the ICR experiments when the electron energy was reduced (Figure 3).

When isobutyl bromide was similarly irradiated in the

presence of a 16.5-fold excess of 3-methylpentane- d_{14} (82 Torr total pressure), product analysis showed an isobutane:n-butane ratio of 12.6:1. Analysis of the butanes formed in this experiments shows that 37% consist of the expected (CH₃)₃CD, while 56% consist of $i-C_4H_{10}$, derived presumably from a competing reaction of $t-C_4H_9^+$ with the C_4H_9Br . (The chemistry occurring in the radiolysis of alkyl halides is not as well understood as that involving alkanes).¹⁶ The remaining 7% is CH₃CHDCH₂CH₃. Even neglecting the contribution of the $i-C_4H_{10}$, this result indicates that a minimum of 85% of the butyl ions derived from isobutyl bromide acquire the tertiary structure within 10^{-10} s after their formation.

Although a 1,2 hydride shift to form the $t-C_4H_9^+$ ion is the predominant mode of rearrangement of initially formed i- $C_4H_9^+$ ions, there seems to be a fraction of these ions which rearrange to the secondary structure, in accord with liquidphase observations.⁴ This rearrangement may proceed via a protonated methylcyclopropane intermediate.¹¹ Since the conversion from $i-C_4H_9^+$ to sec-C_4H_9^+ is exothermic by about 15 kcal/mol,¹⁷ a certain fraction of the i-C₄H₉⁺ ions appear to follow this permissible reaction course. The secondary ions so formed would initially have at least this 15 kcal/mol internal vibrational energy, which is comparable to the energy barrier of about 17 kcal/mol¹¹ for rearrangement to $t-C_4H_9^+$. In high-pressure gases as well as in the liquid phase, this energy may be rapidly collisionally quenched, resulting in the appearance of measurable amounts of stable secondary ions. At the low pressures characteristic of the ICR experiments. however, any excited secondary ions will have had time to further rearrange to the most stable $t-C_4H_9^+$ structure before stabilizing collisions occur. As a result no secondary ions appear from $i-C_4H_9Br$ in the ICR experiments. The fraction of ions which follow this path is certainly small; initially formed $1-C_4H_9^+$ ions (whose heat of formation is similar to that of i-C₄H₉⁺) are predominantly stabilized in the 2-C₄H₉⁺ structure under radiolysis conditions (Table II). Taking the yield of *n*-butane in the $i-C_4H_9Br$ experiment as an approximate measure of the maximum fraction of the isobutyl ions which undergo carbon skeleton rearrangement, one arrives at an estimate that 90 \pm 5% of the initially formed *i*-C₄H₉⁺ ions undergo a 1,2-hydride shift.

To examine the structures of butyl ions formed in the radiolysis of alkanes, 1:1 mixtures of 3-methylpentane- d_{14} and n-hexane were irradiated. The butyl ions can undergo reactions 11 and 12; sec-butyl ions can also abstract a hydride ion from the n-hexane. In these experiments, most of the butane formed has the normal structure, and the relative abundance of $(CH_3)_3CD$ and $(CD_3)_3CD$ decreases with increasing pressure (Table II). Apparently the isomerization of some of the linear butyl ions formed initially from these hexanes occurs in a time scale comparable to the collision interval ($\sim 10^{-8} - 10^{-10}$ s). Butyl ions are formed in these radiolysis experiments with internal energies which enable unimolecular isomerization to occur despite the significant energy barrier. However, the collisions which the ions eventually will undergo effectively remove the extra energy so that most of the ions are frozen in the higher energy $sec-C_4H_9^+$ form.

Conclusions

All butyl ions examined in this study formed from precursor molecules having an $i-C_4H_9$ or $t-C_4H_9$ group exist primarily as the $t-C_4H_9^+$ ion. This is true for ions observed either 10^{-10} or 10^{-2} s after formation (radiolysis and ICR experiments). That is, initially formed $t-C_4H_9^+$ ions do not rearrange, and

Table II. Extent of Isomerization of Butyl Ions from Fragmentation of Hexanes, as a Function of Pressure

parent molecule	pressure, Torr	collision interval, s	<i>tert-</i> butyl/ <i>sec-</i> butyl
<i>n</i> -hexane	10-6	2×10^{-2}	1.92
	~1	$\sim 2 \times 10^{-8}$	0.38 ^a
	11	2×10^{-9}	0.22
	116	2×10^{-10}	0.125
3-methylpentane- d_{14}	10-6	2×10^{-2}	3.12
	11	2×10^{-9}	0.19
	116	2×10^{-10}	0.071

^a T. Y. Yu and F. H. Field, Org. Mass Spectrom., 8, 267 (1974).

 $i-C_4H_9^+$ ions rearrange almost entirely to the $t-C_4H_9^+$ structure within 10^{-10} s. Butyl ions formed from percursors having a n-C₄H₉ or sec-C₄H₉ structure, however, are found to have both the secondary and tertiary structures. The fact that little secondary ion is formed from any isobutyl precursor indicates that the major pathway for isomerization of this structure need not involve significant formation of the secondary ion or the protonated methylcyclopropane species as suggested recently.³ Instead, the bulk of the reaction may be adequately accounted for by the facile 1,2 hydrogen atom shift, to form $t-C_4H_9^+$. The extent of isomerization from the sec- $C_4H_9^+$ structure to the more stable t- $C_4H_9^+$ structure depends on the fraction of the initially formed ions which have sufficient internal energy to overcome the energy barrier for isomerization, and on the time available for the rearrangement to occur. Isomerization is facilitated by collisions with halide molecules. The scope and mechanisms of collision-induced isomerizations will be discussed in a future publication.

Acknowledgment. The authors would like to thank Dr. Sharon Lias for her advice and instruction in the operation of the ICR instrument, and for constructive criticism of the manuscript. They are also indebted to Dr. F. McLafferty for several stimulating discussions.

References and Notes

- M. S. B. Munson, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 89, 1772 (1967).
 M. S. B. Munson, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 90, 83 (1968).
- (3) P. P. Dymerski and F. W. McLafferty, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 98, 6070 (1976).
- (4) G. J. Karabatsos, N. Hsi, and S. Meyerson, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 92, 621 (1970)
- (5) S. G. Lias, R. E. Rebbert, and P. Ausloos, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 92, 6430 (1970). (6) Jo-Anne A. Jackson, S. G. Lias, and P. Ausloos, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 99,
- 7515 (1977).
- J. R. Hass and K. R. Jennings, Adv. Mass Spectrom., 6, 711 (1974). (7)
- D. H. Hass and K. H. Jennings, *Adv. Mass Spectron.*, 6, 711 (1974).
 L. W. Sieck and S. G. Lias, *J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data*, 5, 1123 (1976).
 (a) J. F. Wolf, R. H. Staley, I. Koppel, M. Taagepera, R. J. McIver, Jr., J. L. Beauchamp, and R. W. Taft, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 99, 5417 (1977); (b) R. Yamdagni and P. Kebarle, *ibid.*, 98, 1320 (1976). (9)
- (10) Heats of formation of 164 \pm 1 and 175 \pm 1 kcal/mol are ascribed to the $t-C_4H_9^+$ and sec-C_4H_9^+ ions, respectively. A full discussion of the derivation of these and related values will be presented in a future publication. D. M. Shold, S. G. Lias, and P. Ausloos, unpublished results.
- (11) For a review see D. M. Brouwer and H. Hogeveen, Prog. Phys. Org. Chem., 9, 179 (1972).
- (12) P. Ausloos and S. G. Lias, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **92**, 5037 (1970).
 (13) M. Meot-Ner and F. H. Field, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **64**, 277 (1976).
 (14) S. G. Lias, A. Viscomi, and F. H. Field, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **96**, 359 (1974),
- and references cited therein.
- (15) Taking a value of 154 \pm 1 kcal/mol for ΔH_f of CH₃CO⁺ (S. G. Lias and P. Ausloos in "Kinetics of Ion-Molecule Reactions", Plenum Press, New York, Autoos in Kinetics of for Molecule Reactions, Plenum Press, New York, N.Y., 1978) the enthalpy of reaction 10 is -1.3 ± 1 and ± 4.7 kcal/mol for sec-C₄H₉⁺ and t-C₄H₉⁺, respectively. (16) S. G. Lias and P. Ausloos, "Ion-Molecule Reactions; Their Role in Radiation Chemistry", American Chemical Society, Washington, D.C., 1975.
- (17) F. P. Lossing and G. P. Semeluk, Can. J. Chem., 48, 955 (1970).